

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,074	02/04/2004	Masahiro Hatashita	81710.0265	4525	
26021	7590 10/29/2007	EXAMINER			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			DEWS, B	DEWS, BROOKE J	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELE	S CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EGO MINGELL			2182		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/772,074	HATASHITA, MASAHIRO	HATASHITA, MASAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Brooke J. Dews	2182			

Before the Filling of all Appear Brici	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brooke J. Dews	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	hs of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	had a view to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment (s). 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below):	eçause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi ovided below or appended.	III be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	43 for a second hard and filling a Ni		-4			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
snowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarion. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		<u>></u>			
13.		//				
	//	KIM HUYNH				
	SUPER	VISORY PATENT E	XAMINER			
		10/20	102			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

/ / Part of Paper No. 20071019 **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner traverse applicant's arguments. It appears applicant did not interpret the rejection according to examiners intentions, however, examiner took applicant's arguments into consideration and they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as: There is no disclosure or suggestion that the scanned data is converted into a second data format in the scanner, Ott simply transmits the scanned data to the printer without data format conversion and would require a conversion function in the printer, as needed conventionally. Moreover neither the Action nor the reference provide any teaching or motivation to combine Shih, Ohara, and Ott references. In response to the arguments above, examiner notes that Ott discloses obtaining scaned data by scanning (converting a document, photograph, into a digital electronic signal representative of the scanned object), 1st conversion, and converting the scanned data (electronic signals) into a data format for printing (by electronic signals being subjected to further processing and analysis and sent to an output device). (Found in Column 1 lines 10-15 of Ott) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the functions of Ott into Shih for the benefit of maintaining high image quality while permitting improved scanning speed and improved perceived resolution. (Column 1 lines 51-54 of Ott).